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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for following xx CIDs for IEEE 802.11 cc50:

301, 302, 303, 304, 448, 449, 450, 451, 1330, 1331, 1332, 1333, 1900, 2253, 2650, 2754, 3418, 3518, 3519, 3669, 3670, 3731

**Revisions:**

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

**TGbe editor: The baseline for this document is 11bn D0.2.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Pg/Ln** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 301 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.32 | "frequency subblock" is typically associated with 80 MHz subblock. It seems to mean something different here. Use a terminology that avoids the confusion. | See comment. | **Revised.**  TGbn editor: please change “frequency subblock” to “Channel/Sub-Channel” in Table 38-10 (page 114, line 21) in D0.2. |
| 2650 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.37  106.42  106.44  106.47 | Need space between numbers. | "Add space between numbers at  Line 37: -258-257  Line 42: -133-132  Line 44: 254255  Line 47: 381382" | **Accepted.**  Also in line 34, add space to 379-378. |
| 3518 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.44 | No space between numbers | [129 130 131 132 133 134 135 253 254 255] | **Revised.**  See resolution of CID 2650 |
| 302 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.42 | Need a space between -133 and -132 | See comment. | **Accepted.**  See resolution of CID 2650 |
| 303 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.44 | Need a space between 254 and 255 | See comment. | **Accepted.**  See resolution of CID 2650 |
| 304 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.47 | Need a space between 381 and 382 | See comment. | **Accepted.**  See resolution of CID 2650 |
| 3418 | 38.3.2.2 | 106.34 | Numbers are too close in many cases in this Table without proper separation, e.g., "-379-378". Add commas between numbers throughout Table 38-10 to avoid confusion. | Refer to the comment. | **Accepted.**  See resolution of CID 2650. |
| 448 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.08 | Title of Table 38-9 (Null subcarrier indices for DRUs for 20MHz and 40MHz) is confusing. The PPDU bandwidth may be confused with the distribution bandwidth. | Change the title of Table 38-9 to "Null subcarrier indices for DRUs of 20MHz and 40MHz UHR TB PPDU" | **Accepted.** |
| 449 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.11 | "Channel width" in the first column of Table 38-9 (Null subcarrier indices for DRUs for 20MHz and 40MHz) should be "Channel Bandwidth" | Change "Channel width" to "Channel Bandwidth" | **Accepted.** |
| 450 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.28 | Title of Table 38-10 v(Null subcarrier indices for DRUs for 80MHz) is confusing. The PPDU bandwidth may be confused with the distribution bandwidth. | Change the title of Table 38-10 to "Null subcarrier indices for DRUs of 80MHz UHR TB PPDU" | **Accepted.** |
| 451 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.33 | "Distribution width" in the first column of Table 38-10 (Null subcarrier indices for DRUs for 80MHz) should be "Distribution Bandwidth" | Change "Distribution width" to "Distribution Bandwidth" | **Accepted.** |
| 1330 | 38.3.2.1 | 103.27 | the expression of " kDRUi" and "kDRUj" is a little bit confuse,suggest to make change to make this clear | change "k\_DRU\_i" and "k\_DRU\_j" to "k\_DRU\_i" and "k\_DRU\_j", change DRU1, DRU2, DUR3,... to DRU\_1, DRU\_2, DRU\_3,... in Table 38-4, Table 38-5, Table 38-6. | **Revised.**  does not need to be changed since DRU is the subindex of k and j is the subindex of DRU.  TGbn editor: Please change DRU1, DRU2, DUR3, … to , , , ... in Table 38-4, Table 38-5, Table 38-6. |
| 1331 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.42 | Add "." after "[-11:11] | see comment | **Accepted.**  In page 112 line 42 in D0.2 |
| 1332 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.48 | change "the the" to "the" | see comment | **Accepted.**  In page 112 line 48 in D0.2 |
| 1333 | 38.3.2.1 | 104.53 | change "the the" to "the" | see comment | **Accepted.**  In page 112 line 53 in D0.2 |
| 1900 | 38 | Not correct in Page and line | There are multiple terms correspond to the DRU (Distributed-tone RU/ Distributed tone RU/ distributed RU) | Please be consistent. | **Revised.**  TGbn editor: Please change “Distributed tone RU” or “distributed RU” to “Distributed-tone RU” in D0.2. |
| 2754 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.11 | The first row in Table 38-9 should be bold | see comment | **Accepted.** |
| 3519 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 107.30 | typo | minus 512, in which | **Revised.**  TGbn editor: Please remove “and” in page 115 line 30 in D0.2 |
| 2253 | 38.3.2.2.3 | 106.10 | In Table 38-9 and 38-10, some rows have each tone listed out, some rows have a:b Matlab expression, please use consistent style. | As in comment | **Revised.**  To avoid unnecessary length to list all the subcarriers, we can add a note to clarify m:n.  TGbn editor: Please add the following note under the Table 38-9 and Table 38-10:  Note: n:m indicates the index from n to m. |
| 3669 | 38.3.2.2 | 107.26 | The sentence is hard to read and can be improved. Better to revise it by defining a union set (or a combined set) of null tones from different sets. Same comment to the first sentence in the other similar paragraphs. | Refer to the comment. | **Rejected.**  The comment and instruction on how to change are not clear. |
| 3670 | 38.3.2.2 | 107.42 | The definition of N should be different for different DBW. Need to revise the sentence. | Refer to the comment. | **Rejected.**  The comment and instruction on how to change are not clear. |
| 3731 | 38.3.2.2 | 108.30 | Suggest revising this paragraph. Use different sentences or phrases for null tones in 80MHz frequency subblocks with RRUs and null tones in 80MHz frequency subblocks with DRUs. | Refer to the comment. | **Rejected.**  The comment and instruction on how to change are not clear. |