

# IEEE 802 Rules meeting March 2013

# Guidelines for IEEE-SA Meetings

- ▣ **All IEEE-SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.**
- ▣ **Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.**
- ▣ **Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.**
  - ▣ Relative costs, including licensing costs of essential patent claims, of different technical approaches may be discussed in standards development meetings.
    - ▣ Technical considerations remain primary focus
- ▣ **Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.**
- ▣ **Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.**
- ▣ **Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed... do formally object.**

-----  
If you have questions, contact the IEEE-SA Standards Board Patent Committee Administrator at [patcom@ieee.org](mailto:patcom@ieee.org) or visit <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/index.html>

See *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, clause 5.3.10 and “Promoting Competition and Innovation: What You Need to Know about the IEEE Standards Association's Antitrust and Competition Policy” for more details.

This slide set is available  
at <https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/mob/slideset.ppt>

# Agenda

- Review guidelines for IEEE SA meetings
- OM updates
  - Change name of 5C to criteria for standards development (CSD)
  - Move MIB requirement
  - CSD mission statement
- WG P&P updates
  - Which duly constituted interims count
- CG updates
  - Add “Access to published IEEE standards for outside groups”
  - Reflector failure/email ballot policy (new contribution)
- 5C/CSD updates
- Public statements (no contribution)
- CG point to RFC governing IEEE 802/IETF collaboration

# OM 10.2 and 10.3

- In anticipation of changes to the 5C:
  - change the first occurrence and in the title of the previous 5C to be “IEEE 802 criteria for standards development (CSD)”.
  - At all other occurrences of five criteria, 5C, 5 criteria, etc. change them to be “CSD”
  - Move “10.5 IEEE 802 criteria for standards development (CSD)” to become Clause 14
  - Fix all cross references to 10.5 to point to Clause 14
  - Move old 10.5.4.1 Coexistence (would be 14.4.1) to become 14.5 Coexistence

# OM MIB requirement

- Delete the following from the second paragraph of 10.2
  - “and a work plan for the development of managed object definitions, either as part of the PAR or as a part of an additional PAR”
- Add to the end of the current 10.5.2.
  - Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:
    - The definitions will be part of this project.
    - The definitions will be part of a different project and provide details of that project.
    - The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed.

# OM CSD mission statement

- Add the following to the new Clause 14:  
The CSD documents an agreement between the WG and the Sponsor that provides a description of the project and the Sponsor's requirements more detailed than required in the PAR. (note, spell out PAR if not already in the acronym.)

# WG P&P: Voting rights

- Which duly constituted interims count?
- Change the first paragraph of “7.2.1.Establishment” as shown:
  - one duly constituted recent interim WG or Task Group session may be substituted for one of the two plenary sessions required to establish membership. A recent interim is any of the interims after the first of the four most recent plenaries.
- Add the same sentence to the end of the paragraph in “7.2.2 Retention” and change to “duly constituted recent interim”

# Access to published IEEE stds

## 2.18 Access to a published IEEE standard for outside groups

(SA/Karen McCabe Origin)

The process for IEEE 802 working groups to request no-charge access to an approved IEEE 802 standard by a legitimate standards developing body for the sole purpose of standards development-related work prior to the standard being freely available from the Get IEEE 802 Program.

- 1) All requests shall be made by the Sponsor Chair or WG chair.
- 2) Email requests to the IEEE Staff Liaison.
- 3) The email shall include:
  - a) the standard designation and approval date,
  - b) the standards developing body/committee for whom the request is being made, and
  - c) a brief description on why the access to the standard is needed by the standards developing body/committee.
- 4) The IEEE-SA will provide one electronic copy (PDF) along with a terms of use agreement (which must be signed prior to access being provided).
- 5) The request shall be a one-time request specifically for standards development related purposes. If the IEEE-SA deems the request to encompass more than an exception for or addition to standards development related purposes, the IEEE-SA reserves the right, working with the IEEE 802 EC, to pursue a Memorandum of Understanding with the appropriate licensing terms.



# Reflector failure/letter ballot

- 802 relies on reflector for business, what is our recovery plan?
  - Require all EC members to have alternate email address (i.e., non ieee.org) in contact list
  - Post key email items to we page when there is a failure? If so, how are people notified?
  - Other issues?
- EC letter ballot when reflector problem
  - Need to keep public for comment by 802 members
  - How do we notify members when reflector has a problem?
- Other ideas/problems?

# New requirement for CSD review

- The CSD shall be reviewed and approved by each balloter, WG and the Sponsor as part of the approval process for the following:
  - Forwarding the PAR to NesCom
  - Forwarding the draft to Sponsor ballot
  - Forwarding the draft to RevCom

# 5C/CSD updates

- These are the big changes
- Broad market potential
  - Change to “at a minimum” and list 2
  - Should we list a few and say “answer those that apply”?
- Compatibility
  - 2 proposals, there may be more
  - Any change requires 2/3 approval

# 5C/CSD updates (cont.)

- Coexistence
  - Now applies to all projects
  - Many will say it doesn't apply
  - If it does, use current 802.19 review process
- Distinct identity
  - Not restricted to IEEE or 802 standards only
  - Bulleted list removed (add similar list with instructions: respond to items if appropriate?)

## 5C/CSD update (cont. 2)

- Technical feasibility – two items
  - “Demonstrated system feasibility.”
  - “Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.”
- Economic feasibility
  - Keep list with new instructions: “Among the areas that may be addressed”
    - Balanced costs (infrastructure versus attached stations).
    - Known cost factors.
    - Consideration of installation costs.
    - Other areas, as appropriate.

# Public statements (new item)

- What is a “Sponsor public statement”?
- Should Sponsor or subgroup statements have an expiration date (aka timeout)?
  - If so, is there a global value unless otherwise specified?
  - How is this communicated (in document, on web page, ???)
- Should they be archived in a specific location? (could be document group on mentor)
- Sponsor Chair issues approved regulatory responses
  - Is there a specific example of where this is not possible?
  - Can it be handed off as long as it has the Sponsor Chair's signature and contact information?
- Do we have enough document classes in the OM?
  - Currently 2, other standards bodies and government bodies
  - Add 2 more, e.g. “ITU” and “other (generic)”?